| United States Patent | AND TRADEMARK OFFICE | | M | |
|--|----------------------|--|------------------|--|
| JUN 2 8 2004 E | | UNITED STATES DEPAR United States Patent and Address: COMMISSIONER F P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 222 www.uspto.gov | OR PATENTS | |
| APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
| 10/430,478 | Steven M. Moilanen | 614359/82643 | 3830 | |
| 7590 03/25/2004 Barnes & Thornburg 600 One Summit Square | | EXAMINER | | |
| | | CHIN, PAUL T | | |
| Fort Wayne, IN 46802 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | 3652 | | |
| • | • | DATE MAILED: 03/25/2004 | 4 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| , | 15 E 10 | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|---|-----------------------|
| 1 | | Application | No | Applicant(s | |
| Office Action Sun | JUN 2 8 2014 | 10/430,478 | | MOILANEN | ET AL. |
| Office Action Sun | Carson and Octob | Examiner | | Art Unit | |
| | CHALK IN | PAUL T. CHI | | 3652 | |
| The MAILING DATE of thi Period for Reply | s communication app | pears on the co | ver sheet w | ith the corresponden | ce address - |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS (- Extensions of time may be available under after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing dat - If the period for reply specified above is les - If NO period for reply specified above, th - Failure to reply within the set or extended p Any reply received by the Office later than earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CF | COMMUNICATION. the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 te of this communication. s than thirty (30) days, a repl e maximum statutory period to the riod for reply will, by statute three months after the mailing | 36(a). In no event, y within the statutor will apply and will ex | however, may a minimum of thir pire SIX (6) MON ion to become Al | reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considere ITHS from the mailing date o BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 13 | f this communication. |
| Status | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication | ation(s) filed on 06 M | lav 2003. | | | |
| 2a) This action is FINAL. | | action is non- | final. | | |
| 3) Since this application is in | · | | | ters, prosecution as | to the merits is |
| closed in accordance with | | | | • | |
| Disposition of Claims | | • | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are pendin | g in the application. | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) | • . • | om considerat | ion. | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allow | | | · · · · · · · | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 5</u> is/are r | | 1 | | | • |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are obje | ected to. | • | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject | t to restriction and/o | r election requ | irement. | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected | ed to by the Examine | r | • | | |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>06</u> | • | | r b)□ obie | rted to by the Exami | ner |
| Applicant may not request the | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(| | | | | • • |
| 11) The oath or declaration is | | | | | • • |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made a) All b) Some * c) I | | priority under | 35 U.S.C. § | § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | |
| 1. Certified copies of the | ne priority document | s have been r | eceived. | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the | ne priority document | s have been r | eceived in A | pplication No | |
| 3. Copies of the certific | ed copies of the prior | rity document: | s have been | received in this Nati | ional Stage |
| application from the | International Bureau | u (PCT Rule 1 | 7.2(a)). | | |
| * See the attached detailed C | office action for a list | of the certified | l copies not | received. | |
| AM - (L.) (L.) | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | | 44 | | | |
| 2) 🔲 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawir | ng Review (PTO-948) | 4) | | Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date | |
| Information Disclosure Statement(s) (F Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>3</u> . | TO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | 5) 6) | Notice of I | nformal Patent Application | n (PTO-152) |
| 5. Patent and Trademark Office TOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) | Office Ac | tion Summary | | Part of Paner No. | /Mail Date 3172004 |

Art Unit: 3652

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- Applicant's election of the species of Group II (Figs, 6,7,11A-G) and further the species of Figs. 19A-E (Group F), in Paper No. 5 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)). It is pointed out that Figs. 5 and 10 are also included in the original Group II (Fig. 5-7,10, and 11A-G), readable on claims 1-3 and 5.
- 2. Claim 4, which refers to a stationary second jaw (Figs. 26A,B), is withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected election of species there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in Paper No. 6.
- 3. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Priority

This application is claiming the benefit of a prior filed nonprovisional application under 35 U.S.C. 120, 121, or 365(c). Copendency between the current application and the prior application is required. Applicant is claiming a "Domestic Priority" the benefit of PRO 60/027,668, filed October 8 (instead if October 7), 1996, and the benefit of PRO 60/039,088, filed March 14, 1997, which expires on March 14, 1998. Applicant filed 08/981,863 on August

Art Unit: 3652

4, 1998, which is after one year of the expired date of PRO 60/027,668. Therefore, it appears that the benefit of "Domestic Priority" is denied (unless applicant explains or proves other related applications.

Information Disclosure Statement

5. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on June 25, 2003, was filed and the submission is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner.

Specification

6. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

7. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 3652

9. Claims 1-3 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Blatt et al. (4,518,187).

Blatt et al. (4,518,187) discloses a gripper comprising a body (Fig. 1) having a fluid driven actuator (25); a jaw member (47) being pivotal within a plane; and further having a closed-end slot (61,63) having two segments; and a pin (69,39) extending into the slot of the jaw member; and a pivotal second jaw member (45) having a closed-end slot (61,63).

10. Claims 1-3 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Valentine (4,529,182).

Valentine (4,529,182) discloses a gripper comprising a body (Fig. 1) having a fluid driven actuator (56,52); a jaw member (69) being pivotal within a plane; and further having a closed-end slot (70) (Fig. 1); and a pin (68) extending into the slot of the jaw member; and a pivotal second jaw member (69) having a closed-end slot;

11. Claims 1-3 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Jones et al. (5,248,177).

Jones et al. (5,248,177) discloses a gripper comprising a body (Fig. 1) having a fluid driven actuator (30); a jaw member (16) being pivotal within a plane; and further having a closed-end slot (Fig. 7); and a pin (40) extending into the slot of the jaw member; and a pivotal second jaw member having a closed-end slot.

Art Unit: 3652

12. Claims 1-3 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Jackson (5,085,480).

Jackson (5,085,480) discloses a gripper comprising a body (Fig. 1) having a fluid driven actuator (30); a jaw member (154) being pivotal within a plane; and further having a closed-end slot (158) (Fig. 8); and a pin (108,11) extending into the slot of the jaw member; and a pivotal second jaw member (152) having a closed-end slot.

Conclusion

13. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to PAUL T. CHIN whose telephone number is (703) 305-1524. The examiner can normally be reached on MON-THURS (7:30 -6:00 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, EILEEN LILLIS can be reached on (703) 308-3248. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 3652

Pauldi

PAUL T. CHIN Examiner Art Unit 3652